




GLOBAL INDEX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION



Press Release

Contact Details

For further details please contact:

Dr. Ijaz Shafi Gilani:	Email: gilani@gallup.com.pk	(Mobile)	+92-307-5440148
		(Landline)	+92-51-2655630
Ms. Rushna Shahid:	Email: rushna.shahid@gallup.com.pk	(Mobile)	+92-307-5440148
		(Landline)	+92-51-2655630
Irene Zuettel	Email: jirene.zuettel@gallup-international.com		

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com



Global Index of **SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION**

A technologically 'borderless' world is sharply divided on immigration across borders.

Zurich, 25 May 2012 — A world which technology fans like to call 'Borderless' is sharply divided on '**Immigration across Borders**': 34% of the world in a global poll call it a 'good thing' while 38% consider it a 'bad thing'. Thus net support for immigration is -4%. Interestingly the poll shows there is a global citizenry which is divided on the desirability of immigration across borders; but the divisions are among citizens and not necessarily among nations or their conventionally perceived national interests.

The WIN-Gallup International 'Immigration index' which measures perceptions about immigration is based on interviews with more than 50,000 men and women selected from 59 countries across the globe in five continents. The survey also provides trend data for shifts in attitudes since 2005.

A world-wide poll conducted by WIN-Gallup International, a network of the world's top most independent pollsters, asked exactly the same question in **59** countries across the globe:

Question: **Generally speaking, do you think immigration including bringing in foreign workers is a good thing or a bad thing for this country?**

In response to this **34%** of the world said it is a good thing, **38%** said it is a 'bad thing': **23%** said 'neither good nor bad' while **5%** were unable to answer.

In **21** of the 59 polled countries there is net favor for immigration while there is net opposition in the remaining **38** countries.

The global poll found interesting differences in attitudes towards immigration across nations.

The support for Immigration varies by national income levels as well as national population density. But interestingly not all high income level countries are opposed to immigration. Similarly views on immigration are not necessarily divided by the level of population density. The data in this poll explore the variety of views across nations and individuals within nations. The world is increasingly witnessing a global citizenry which is divided on key issues such as immigration across borders, but the views are not necessarily divided across the perceived national interest of states. These details are provided in **7** Tables in the text of this short Report. *(See Table 1-7 ahead)*

The poll was conducted by the oldest and the largest network of opinion pollsters affiliated with WIN-Gallup International in **59** countries covering more than **74%** of the world's population.

Commentary by Jean-Marc Leger (President WIN-Gallup International, the network which runs the Annual barometer of opinions since 1977)

“The world is divided on many issues including on whether immigration across borders is a good or bad thing. But we discover in our global poll that opinions are nevertheless borderless. Consequently, views supporting and opposing immigration cut across national boundaries. Global polling is helping to identify multinational groups which either favor or oppose immigration

across borders. It is one of the most important economic realities of our time and therefore WIN-Gallup International will track this Index and measure changes in global attitudes over the years."

Methodology:

The global barometer of hope and despair is an annual tradition initiated by and designed under the chairmanship of Dr. George Gallup in 1977. It is conducted every year since then. This year it was carried out by associates of WIN-Gallup International in **59** countries around the world.

Sample Size and Mode of Field Work:


A total of 53,433 persons were interviewed globally. In each country a national probability sample of around 1000 men and women was interviewed either face to face (36 countries; $n=34,890$), via telephone (11 countries; $n=7,661$) or online (12 countries; $n=10,882$). Details are attached. The field work was conducted during November 2011- January 2012. In general the error margin for surveys of this kind is $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

The global average has been computed according to the share of the covered adult population of the surveyed countries.

List of Tables and Figures (see Ahead)

Table and Figure 1: Immigration Index, 2012	(p.6-8)
Table and Figure 2: Relationship with Population Density	(p.9-12)
Table and Figure 3: Relationship with National Income Levels	(p.13-16)
Table 4: Complete Results to Question, Country by Country	(p.17)
Table 5: Complete Results to Question, Region-wise	(p.19)
Table 6: Complete Results to Question, by selected Demographics	(p.21)
Table 7: Trend Data, 2005-12	(p.23)
Appendix: Summary Chart on Methods and List of Countries	(p.24)

What is the **Immigration Index**?



The **Immigration Index** represents the percentage of the population in a country which feels positively towards Immigration minus those who feel negatively about immigration.

Operational Definition of

Immigration Index: percent of population that supports immigration minus percent of population that is against immigration

Value of

Immigration Index in 2012: 34% (support immigration)

Minus **38%** (against immigration)*

= -4%

* Among the balance, 23% say 'Neither Good nor Bad' and 5% did not answer

Figure 1

GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX FOR 2012

(Rank ordered from 'High' to 'Low')



Table 1


GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX FOR 2012
(In alphabetical order)

Countries in alphabetical order	Net Support for Immigration
Afghanistan	-3
Argentina	-8
Armenia	30
Australia	-12
Austria	7
Azerbaijan	-9
Belgium	-44
Bosnia and Herzegovina	-63
Brazil	2
Bulgaria	-15
Cameroon	7
Canada	11
China	28
Colombia	-3
Czech Republic	-43
Denmark	-1
Ecuador	-24
Egypt	-41
Fiji	-1
Finland	23
France	-9
Georgia	-43
Germany	16
Ghana	26
Hong Kong	-31
Iceland	12
India	-20
Iraq	-39
Ireland	-23
Italy	-31
Japan	5
Kenya	-2
Korea, Rep (South)	17
Lebanon	-39

Lithuania	-39
Macedonia	-41
Malaysia	-40
Moldova	-13
Netherlands	-5
Nigeria	65
Pakistan	41
Palestinian territories (West Bank and Gaza)	-17
Peru	5
Poland	-21
Romania	-10
Russian Federation	-31
Saudi Arabia	10
Serbia	-59
South Africa	-38
South Sudan	22
Spain	-19
Sweden	23
Switzerland	14
Tunisia	-37
Turkey	-46
Ukraine	-33
United States	-20
Uzbekistan	16
Vietnam	19

Source: Annual Global Index of Support for Immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. See details on: www.gallup.com.pk, www.gallup-international.com/

Figure 2



MATRIX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION RELATIONSHIP WITH POPULATION DENSITY

Net Support for Immigration does not appear to be strongly linked to Population density. We have divided the 59 polled countries into 3 Groups. The Low Density Group (LD); Middle Density (MD) and High Density (HD) countries. There are 100 persons per sq.km in Low Density zones: 101-250 in Middle Density Zone and 250+ in High Density Zone.

Each Group is divided among itself on Support and Opposition to Immigration. Thus among the 36 Low Density countries 12 are positively inclined while 24 countries are negatively inclined.


Among the 14 Middle Density countries 6 countries are positively inclined while 8 countries are negatively inclined. Among the 9 High Density countries 3 are positively inclined and 6 countries are negatively inclined.

(Read in Rows)

	Total # of countries in the Group	Net Favorable to Immigration (# of countries)	Net Opposed to Immigration (# of countries)
Low Density Countries (less than 100 persons per sq.km)	36	12	24
Middle Density Countries (101-250 persons per sq.km)	14	6	8
High Density Countries (More than 250 persons per sq.km)	9	3	6
TOTAL:	59	21	38

Figure 2

POPULATION DENSITY AND SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION



Source: Annual Global index of support for immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com

Table 2


GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX FOR 2012
(Rank ordered by Population Density per sq-km)

Countries	Population Density per square kilometer	Net support for immigration
Hong Kong	6480	-31%
Korea	490	17%
Palestinian	448	-17%
Netherlands	403	-5%
Lebanon	398	-39%
India	367	-20%
Belgium	342	-44%
Japan	337	5%
Vietnam	276	19%
Pakistan	239	41%
Germany	228	16%
Italy	203	-31%
Switzerland	185	14%
Nigeria	184	65%
China	140	28%
Czech Republic	129	-43%
Denmark	129	-1%
Poland	123	-21%
Azerbaijan	110	-9%
Moldova	108	-13%
Ghana	106	26%
France	102	-9%
Turkey	102	-46%
Armenia	100	30%
Austria	98	7%
Serbia	94	-59%
Spain	93	-19%
Romania	92	-10%
Bosnia	90	-63%
Malaysia	88	-40%
Egypt	84	-41%
Macedonia	81	-41%
Ukraine	74	-33%
Kenya	74	-2%
Iraq	71	-39%
Ireland	67	-23%
Tunisia	66	-37%
Georgia	66	-43%
Bulgaria	63	-15%
Uzbekistan	63	16%
Lithuania	54	-39%
Ecuador	54	-24%
Fiji	49	-1%
Afghanistan	47	-3%
Cameroon	42	7%

South Africa	40	-38%
Colombia	40	-3%
USA	32	-20%
Brazil	24	2%
Peru	23	5%
Sweden	20	23%
South Sudan	16	22%
Finland	16	23%
Argentina	15	-8%
Saudi Arabia	12	10%
Russia	8	-31%
Canada	3	11%
Iceland	3	12%
Australia	3	-12%

Source: Annual Global Index of Support for Immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. See details on: www.gallup.com.pk, www.gallup-international.com/

Figure 3



MATRIX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION RELATIONSHIP WITH NATIONAL INCOME LEVELS


Net Support for Immigration does not appear to be strongly linked to Income Levels. We have divided the 59 polled countries into 3 Groups; the Low Income (LI), Medium Income (MI) and High Income (HI). The Low Income has an annual income under \$10, 000, Medium Income ranges between \$10,000 to \$30,000 whereas High Income ranges above \$30,000.

There are a total of 26 countries in **low income zone** among which 10 are positively inclined and 16 are negatively inclined towards immigration. In the **medium income zone** there are a total of 16 counties out of which 4 are positively inclined whereas 12 are negatively inclined. Similarly in the **high income zone** there are 17 countries out of which 7 countries are positively inclined and 10 countries are negatively inclined.

(Read in Rows)

	Total # of countries in the Group	Net Favorable to Immigration (# of countries)	Net Opposed to Immigration (# of countries)
Low Income (Under 10,000 USD per capita at PPS)	26	10	16
Middle Income (10,000 to 30,000 USD per capita at PPS)	16	4	12
High Income (Above 30,000 USD per capita at PPS)	17	7	10
TOTAL:	59	21	38

Figure 3
**NATIONAL INCOME LEVEL
AND SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION**



Source: Annual Global index of support for immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com

Table 3

GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX FOR 2012
(Rank ordered by National GDP per capita)

Countries	Annual per capita	Net Support for Immigration
Switzerland	50,170	14%
Hong Kong	47,480	-31%
USA	47,360	-20%
Netherlands	41,900	-5%
Denmark	40,230	-1%
Austria	39,790	7%
Sweden	39,730	23%
Australia	38,380	-12%
Canada	38,310	11%
Belgium	38,260	-44%
Germany	37,950	16%
Finland	37,290	23%
Japan	34,640	5%
France	34,440	-9%
Ireland	33,370	-23%
Spain	31,640	-19%
Italy	31,130	-31%
Korea	29,010	17%
Iceland	27,680	12%
Czech Republic	23,620	-43%
Saudi Arabia	22,750	10%
Russia	19,190	-31%
Poland	19,060	-21%
Lithuania	17,870	-39%
Argentina	15,570	-8%
Turkey	15,170	-46%
Malaysia	14,220	-40%
Lebanon	14,080	-39%
Romania	14,060	-10%
Bulgaria	13,290	-15%
Serbia	11,020	-59%
Brazil	11,000	2%
Macedonia	10,920	-41%
South Africa	10,360	-38%
Azerbaijan	9,280	-9%
Colombia	9,060	-3%
Tunisia	9,060	-37%
Peru	8,930	5%
Bosnia	8,810	-63%
Ecuador	7,880	-24%

China	7,640	28%
Ukraine	6,620	-33%
Egypt	6,060	-41%
Armenia	5,660	30%
Georgia	4,990	-43%
Fiji	4,510	-1%
India	3,550	-20%
Iraq	3,370	-39%
Moldova	3,360	-13%
Uzbekistan	3,120	16%
Vietnam	3,070	19%
Pakistan	2,790	41%
Cameroon	2,270	7%
Nigeria	2,170	65%
Kenya	1,680	-2%
Ghana	1,660	26%
South Sudan	1,546	22%
Palestinian	1,483	-17%
Afghanistan	1,060	-3%

Source: Annual Global Index of support for immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. See details on: www.gallup.com.pk, www.gallup-international.com/

Table 4

GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX FOR 2012
(Detailed Table)

Question: **Generally speaking, do you think immigration including bringing in foreign workers is a good thing or a bad thing for this country?**

						Percent of Respondents
Global Average*	53,433	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
Countries in alphabetical order	Sample Size Unweighted N	Good	Bad	Neither Good nor Bad	Don't know / no response	Immigration Index= 'Good' minus 'Bad'
Afghanistan	1,031	34%	37%	25%	4%	-3%
Argentina	1,002	31%	39%	25%	4%	-8%
Armenia	500	53%	22%	16%	9%	30%
Australia	1,040	30%	41%	25%	4%	-12%
Austria	1,003	36%	29%	31%	4%	7%
Azerbaijan	510	31%	40%	25%	5%	-9%
Belgium	528	9%	53%	35%	4%	-44%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1,000	9%	73%	13%	5%	-63%
Brazil	2,002	42%	40%	13%	4%	2%
Bulgaria	997	14%	29%	35%	23%	-15%
Cameroon	504	45%	38%	16%	1%	7%
Canada	1,003	40%	29%	26%	6%	11%
China	500	45%	17%	36%	2%	28%
Colombia	606	31%	34%	31%	4%	-3%
Czech Republic	1,000	9%	52%	35%	4%	-43%
Denmark	506	33%	33%	28%	6%	-1%
Ecuador	400	22%	46%	26%	6%	-24%
Egypt	1,000	12%	52%	15%	21%	-41%
Fiji	1,020	41%	43%	15%	2%	-1%
Finland	984	43%	20%	34%	3%	23%
France	1,671	24%	33%	43%	0%	-9%
Georgia	1,000	13%	56%	19%	12%	-43%
Germany	502	38%	22%	37%	3%	16%
Ghana	1,505	57%	31%	6%	7%	26%
Hong Kong	500	22%	53%	22%	4%	-31%
Iceland	852	33%	21%	46%	0%	12%
India	1,091	33%	53%	9%	5%	-20%
Iraq	1,000	20%	59%	15%	6%	-39%
Ireland	1,001	24%	47%	29%	0%	-23%
Italy	987	14%	45%	38%	3%	-31%
Japan	1,200	17%	12%	61%	10%	5%
Kenya	1,000	36%	38%	24%	3%	-2%
Korea, Rep (South)	1,524	40%	23%	34%	3%	17%
Lebanon	500	24%	64%	11%	1%	-39%
Lithuania	1,025	16%	56%	21%	7%	-39%
Macedonia	1,209	13%	54%	24%	9%	-41%
Malaysia	520	16%	56%	23%	5%	-40%
Moldova	1,086	23%	36%	24%	16%	-13%
Netherlands	505	33%	38%	25%	4%	-5%
Nigeria	1,049	78%	13%	6%	2%	65%
Pakistan	2,705	54%	13%	26%	7%	41%
Palestinian territories (West Bank and Gaza)	626	29%	46%	24%	1%	-17%
Peru	1,207	37%	32%	21%	10%	5%
Poland	520	17%	38%	38%	7%	-21%
Romania	1,050	26%	36%	26%	13%	-10%
Russian Federation	1,000	19%	50%	19%	12%	-31%
Saudi Arabia	502	43%	32%	21%	3%	10%

WIN-Gallup International
GLOBAL INDEX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION,
World Envisioned as Technologically Borderless-2012

Serbia	1,037	6%	65%	21%	9%	-59%
South Africa	200	28%	66%	7%	0%	-38%
South Sudan	1,020	48%	26%	20%	5%	22%
Spain	1,146	26%	45%	26%	3%	-19%
Sweden	501	45%	22%	27%	5%	23%
Switzerland	507	35%	21%	39%	5%	14%
Tunisia	503	26%	63%	7%	4%	-37%
Turkey	1,031	15%	61%	20%	4%	-46%
Ukraine	1,013	15%	49%	19%	17%	-33%
United States	1,002	25%	45%	25%	5%	-20%
Uzbekistan	500	38%	22%	27%	14%	16%
Vietnam	500	43%	24%	29%	3%	19%

Note 1: Global Average is weighted according to Weighting Scheme explained in the Methods Chapter

2: In some cases the total may not add up to 100 or mismatch with earlier tables to the extent of 1%. Please ignore this, as it is due to round off error.

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com

Table 5

GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX: REGION-WISE

(Detailed Table)

Question: **Generally speaking, do you think immigration including bringing in foreign workers is a good thing or a bad thing for this country?**

							Percent of Respondents
Global Average	53433	100%	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
REGIONAL CLASSIFICATION							Immigration Index = 'Good' minus 'Bad'
	Sample Size		Good	Bad	Neither Good nor Bad	Don't know / no response	
	Unweighted N	Col %					
All Regions	-22	-22%	-22%	-22%	-22%	-22%	-4%
North America	2005	10%	25%	46%	23%	6%	-22%
Latin America	5217	6%	40%	39%	16%	5%	1%
Western Europe	11733	10%	30%	33%	34%	3%	-4%
Eastern Europe	12437	6%	18%	48%	22%	13%	-30%
Africa	5278	5%	63%	25%	10%	3%	38%
Arab World	4131	3%	21%	51	15%	13%	-31%
West Asia	5777	6%	37%	32%	24%	7%	5%
South Asia	1091	29%	34%	54%	9%	3%	-20%
East Asia	1020	3%	39%	31%	27%	3%	8%
North Asia	4744	22%	39%	17%	40%	4%	22%
G-7	6365	100%	25%	34%	35%	5%	-9%
United States of America	1002	44%	25%	45%	25%	5%	-20%
Canada	1003	5%	40%	29%	26%	6%	11%
Germany	502	13%	38%	22%	37%	3%	16%
France	1671	10%	24%	33%	43%	0%	-9%
Italy	987	10%	14%	45%	38%	3%	-31%
Japan	1200	19%	17%	12%	61%	10%	5%
BRIC	4593	100%	37%	41%	19%	3%	-3%
Brazil	2002	9%	42%	40%	13%	4%	2%
Russian Federation	1000	5%	19%	50%	19%	12%	-31%
India	1091	54%	33%	53%	9%	5%	-20%
China	500	32%	45%	17%	36%	2%	28%
Other G-20	5299	100%	27%	46%	23%	4%	-19%
Argentina	1002	9%	31%	39%	25%	4%	-8%
Korea	1524	26%	40%	23%	34%	3%	17%
Turkey	1031	35%	15%	61%	20%	4%	-46%
Australia	1040	12%	30%	41%	25%	4%	-12%
South Africa	200	8%	28%	66%	7%	0%	-38%
Saudi Arabia	502	9%	43%	32%	21%	3%	10%
Global Flash- Points	5362	100%	46%	23%	25%	7%	23%
Iraq	1000	10%	20%	59%	15%	6%	-39%
Afghanistan	1031	11%	34%	37%	25%	4%	-3%
Pakistan	2705	76%	54%	13%	26%	7%	41%
Palestine	626	3%	29%	46%	24%	1%	-17%
Emerging and other Nations in Asia and	4753	100%	37%	33%	26%	4%	4%

Latin America							
Malaysia	520	15%	16%	56%	23%	5%	-40%
Hong Kong	500	5%	22%	53%	22%	4%	-31%
Vietnam	500	52%	43%	24%	29%	3%	19%
Peru	1207	15%	37%	32%	21%	10%	5%
Ecuador	400	1%	22%	46%	26%	6%	-24%
Colombia	606	12%	31%	34%	31%	4%	-3%
Fiji	1020	0%	41%	43%	15%	2%	-1%
Emerging and other Nations in Western Europe	8033	100%	29%	39%	28%	4%	-9%
Spain	1146	37%	26%	45%	26%	3%	-19%
Sweden	501	8%	45%	22%	27%	5%	23%
Finland	984	5%	43%	20%	34%	3%	23%
Denmark	506	5%	33%	33%	28%	6%	-1%
Iceland	852	0%	33%	21%	46%	0%	12%
Austria	1003	8%	36%	29%	31%	4%	7%
Switzerland	507	7%	35%	21%	39%	5%	14%
Belgium	528	9%	9%	53%	35%	4%	-44%
Netherlands	505	14%	33%	38%	25%	4%	-5%
Ireland	1001	4%	24%	47%	29%	0%	-23%
Lebanon	500	3%	24%	64%	11%	1%	-39%
Emerging and other Nations in Eastern Europe	7899	100%	17%	43%	29%	11%	-26%
Poland	520	9%	17%	38%	38%	7%	-21%
Romania	1050	39%	26%	36%	26%	13%	-10%
Czech Republic	1000	15%	9%	52%	35%	4%	-43%
Bulgaria	997	12%	14%	29%	35%	23%	-15%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1000	5%	9%	73%	13%	5%	-63%
Macedonia	1209	3%	13%	54%	24%	9%	-41%
Serbia	1037	11%	6%	65%	21%	9%	-59%
Moldova	1086	5%	23%	36%	24%	16%	-13%
CIS/ Former Soviet Union	4548	100%	23%	41%	22%	14%	-17%
Ukraine	1013	53%	15%	49%	19%	17%	-33%
Lithuania	1025	4%	16%	56%	21%	7%	-39%
Armenia	500	3%	53%	22%	16%	9%	30%
Azerbaijan	510	6%	31%	40%	25%	5%	-9%
Uzbekistan	500	29%	38%	22%	27%	14%	16%
Georgia	1000	5%	13%	56%	19%	12%	-43%
Africa	6581	100%	51%	30%	11%	7%	21%
Egypt	1000	24%	12%	52%	15%	21%	-41%
Nigeria	1049	46%	78%	13%	6%	2%	65%
Kenya	1000	13%	36%	38%	24%	3%	-2%
Tunisia	503	5%	26%	63%	7%	4%	-37%
Ghana	1505	8%	57%	31%	6%	7%	26%
Cameroon	504	1%	45%	38%	16%	1%	7%
South Sudan	1020	3%	48%	26%	20%	5%	22%

Note: Global Average is weighted according to Weighting Scheme explained in the Methods Chapter

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com

Table 6

GLOBAL IMMIGRATION INDEX: DEMOGRAPHIC GROUP-WISE

(Detailed Table)

Question: **Generally speaking, do you think immigration including bringing in foreign workers is a good thing or a bad thing for this country?**

							Percent of Respondents
Global Average	53433	100%	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
	Sample Size		Good	Bad	Neither Good nor Bad	Don't know / no response	Immigration Index = 'Good' minus 'Bad'
	Unweighted N	Col %					
Gender-wise	53433	100%	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
Male	26901	59%	36%	40%	20%	4%	-4%
Female	26532	41%	32%	35%	27%	6%	-3%
Age-wise	52413	100%	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
Under 30	15444	36%	40%	35%	20%	5%	5%
30-50	21129	42%	34%	40%	22%	4%	-6%
51-65	10539	16%	28%	39%	28%	5%	-12%
+65	5235	6%	25%	39%	29%	7%	-13%
DK/NR	66	0%	44%	19%	36%	1%	25%
Monthly Household Income-wise	50413	100%	35%	38%	23%	4%	-3%
Low (Bottom quintile/20%)	9296	14%	29%	43%	19%	8%	-14%
Medium low (Second quintile/20%)	10156	25%	33%	43%	20%	4%	-11%
Medium (Third quintile/20%)	10366	22%	33%	37%	26%	4%	-4%
Medium high (Fourth quintile/20%)	7257	19%	41%	33%	23%	3%	7%
High (Top quintile/20%)	6156	13%	41%	28%	29%	2%	12%
Refused/Don't know/no answer	7182	8%	34%	38%	20%	7%	-4%
Education-wise	52413	100%	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%
No education/ only basic education	11787	19%	33%	42%	18%	7%	-9%
Secondary school	24838	42%	32%	39%	24%	5%	-7%
High level education (e.g. university)	15628	39%	38%	35%	24%	3%	3%
NR	160	0%	19%	30%	23%	28%	-11%
Religions-wise	48036	100%	36%	39%	21%	4%	-35
Roman Catholic	11495	13%	34%	38%	24%	4%	-4%
Russian or Eastern Orthodox	7659	5%	22%	47%	22%	10%	-25%
Protestant	5334	7%	39%	36%	22%	4%	3%
Other Christian	3242	5%	36%	43%	17%	5%	-7%
Hindu	974	25%	34%	55%	8%	3%	-21%
Muslim	10505	13%	38%	40%	18%	5%	-2%
Jewish	106	0%	36%	41%	17%	5%	-6%
Buddhist	725	3%	47%	19%	32%	3%	28%
Other	1234	3%	30%	37%	28%	5%	-7%

WIN-Gallup International
GLOBAL INDEX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION,
World Envisioned as Technologically Borderless-2012

Nothing	5940	23%	40%	24%	34%	3%	16%
Refuse/ NA	822	2%	26%	31%	25%	18%	-5%

Note: Global Average is weighted according to Weighting Scheme explained in the Methods Chapter

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com

Table 7

Global Trend Data (2005 and 2011)

'IMMIGRATION INDEX'

CAUTION: The Question wording (Scale) is slightly different across the two polls.
Please see details below.

Immigration Index = 'Support for immigration minus opposition'

Years	No of countries represented	Good thing	Bad Thing	Neither good nor bad	DK/NR	Net = 'Good' minus 'Bad'
2005	67	48%	43%	-	9%	5%
2011	59	34%	38%	23%	5%	-4%

Source: Annual Global Index of Support for Immigration conducted by affiliates of WIN-Gallup International Association. See details on: www.gallup.com.pk, www.gallup-international.com/

Note1: A poll on this Question was conducted only in the year 2005 and 2011.

Note 2: Immigration: In 2005 question was slightly different, it was a dichotomous question (Good, Bad). In 2011 it is measured on a three point scale question (Good, Bad, neither good nor bad).

Summary Chart on Methods

Country	Contact	E-mail	Company	Mode	Sample Type	Data weighted?	Size	Fieldwork Dates
Afghanistan	Matthew Warshaw	Matthew.Warshaw@acsor-surveys.com	ACSOR-Surveys	Face to face	National	Yes	1,031	Nov 25 - Dec 2
Argentina	Gerardo TuñónCorti	g.tunon@ibopeinteligencia.com.ar	IBOPE Inteligencia S.A.	Telephone	Urban	No	1002	Nov 7-Nov 17
Armenia	Aram Navasardyan	ama@netsys.am	MPG	Telephone	National	No	500	Dec 22-Dec26
Austria	Ingrid Lusk	i.lusk@gallup.at	Osterreichisches Gallup Institute	Face to face	National	Yes	1003	Nov16-Dec 7
Australia	Peter Kenny	Peter.Kenny@cbr.com.au	Colmar Brunton Research	Online	National	Yes	1040	Dec 12 - Dec 13
Azerbaijan	Ashraf Hajiyev	Ashraf.Hajiyev@siar.az	SIAR Research and Consulting Group	Face to face	Urban	No	510	Dec 2 - Dec 12
Belgium	DetournayArther	Adetournay@dedicated.be	Dedicated Research	Telephone	National	Yes	528	Nov 29-Dec 10
Bosnia	Aida Hadziavdic-Begovic	AIDA.HADZIAVDIC@MIB.BA	MARECO INDEX BOSNIA	Telephone	National	No	1000	Nov 10 - Nov 18
Brazil	Eduardo Azevedo	Eduardo.azevedo@ibope.com.br	IBOPE Inteligência	Face to face	National	No	2002	Dec 08 -Dec12
Bulgaria	Mila Grigorova	m.grigorova@gallup-bbss.com	BBSS Gallup International	Face to face	National	Yes	997	Nov 4 - Nov 10
Cameroon	Yaptie Placide	Pyaptie@-africa.com	TNSRMS Cameroun	Face to face		Yes	504	Oct29 - Nov 5
Canada	Sarah Weill	sweill@legemarketing.com	Leger Marketing	Online	National	Yes	1003	Nov 22-Nov 29
China	Zhou Xin	zhouxin@comrc.com.cn	CRC-Research Center, Ltd	Online	National	Yes	500	Nov 28 - Dec 8
Colombia	Jaime Arteaga	jarteaga@cncol.com	CNC	Online	Urban	No	606	Dec 1-Dec 6
Czech Republic	Jan Trojacek	trojacek@mareco.cz	Mareco s.r.o.	Face to face	National	Yes	1000	Nov 16-Nov 28
Denmark	Roger Elsted	roger.elsted@dma-research.com	DMA/Research	Online	National	Yes	506	Dec 02 – Dec 08
Ecuador	Nancy Cordova	Nancy.cordova@cedatos.com	CEDATOS	Face to face	National	Yes	400	Nov, 2011
Egypt	Laila Guindy	laila.guindy@rada.com.eg	RADA Research & Public Relations Co.	Face to face	Urban	Yes	1000	Nov 04 – Nov 13
Fiji	Tim Wilson	tim@tebbuttresearch.com	Tebbutt Research	Face to face	Urban	Yes	1020	Dec 16-Dec 20
Finland	Jari Pajunen	jari.pajunen@taloustutkimus.fi	Taloustutkimus Oy	Face to face	National	Yes	984	Nov 6 - Nov 21
France	Céline Bracq	celine.bracq@bva.fr	BVA	Online	National	Yes	1671	Dec 2-Dec 3-Dec 4
Georgia	Merab Pachulia	mpachulia@gorbi.com	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI)	Face to face	National	No	1000	Dec 10-Dec19
Germany	Johannes Huxoll	johannes.huxoll@tns-emnid.com	TNS Emnid	Telephone	National	Yes	502	9-Dec
Ghana	Femi Laoye	olaoye@rms-africa.com	TNS RMS Ghana	Face to face	National	No	1505	Nov 7-Nov 22
Hong Kong	Christy Szeto	christy.szeto@csg-worldwide.com	Consumer Search Group	Telephone	Urban	Yes	500	Nov 24 - Dec 11
Iceland	Sigrún Drífa Jónsdóttir	sigrun.drifa.jonsdottir@capacent.is	Capacent Gallup	Online	National	Yes	852	Nov 24 - Dec 2

WIN-Gallup International
GLOBAL INDEX OF SUPPORT FOR IMMIGRATION,
World Envisioned as Technologically Borderless-2012

India	YashwantDeshmukh	yashwant@teamcvoter.com	CVoter News Services Pvt. Ltd.	Telephone	National	Yes	1091	Dec 3 - Dec 10
Iraq	Munqith Dagher	munqith_daghir@iiacss.org	IIACSS	Face to face	Urban	Yes	1000	Nov 20 - Dec 2
Ireland	Sinead Mooney / Roisin O'Coineen	sinead.mooney@redcresearch.ie / roisin.ocoineen@redcresearch.ie	RED C Research & Marketing Ltd	Online	National	Yes	1001	Dec 2-Dec 11
Italy	Paolo Colombo	paolo.colombo@doxa.it	Doxa	Face to face	National	Yes	987	Nov 21-Dec 4
Japan	Hisako Kumada	kumada@nrc.co.jp	Nippon Research Center, Ltd.	Self Administered	National	Yes	1200	Nov 2 - Nov 14
Kenya	Margit Cleveland	margit@infiniteinsight.net	Infinite Insight	Face to face	National	Yes	1,000	Oct 26 - Nov 05
Lebanon	SelimSaad	s.saad@reachmass.com	REACH S.A.L	Telephone	National	No	500	Nov, 2011
Lithuania	Renata Ševcoviene	renata.sevcoviene@rait.lt	RAIT Ltd.	Face to face	National	Yes	1025	Dec 05-Dec12
Macedonia	Kalina Medarovska	kalina@brima.com.mk	BRIMA	Face to face	National	Yes	1209	Nov 18 - Nov 27
Malaysia	Kho Chai Kiat	Chaikiat.kho@tnsglobal.com	TNS RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL	Face to face	National	No	520	Nov 2 - Nov 30
Moldova	Ivanisheva Natalya	Ivanisheva.N@romir.ru	Romir	Face to face	National	No	1086	Nov 30 - Dec 12
Netherlands	Imre van Rooijen	i.van.rooijen@marketresponse.nl	Market Response	Telephone	National	Yes	505	Nov 14-Nov 21
Nigeria	Josiah Kimanzi	jkimanzi@rms-africa.com	TNS RMS Nigeria	Face to face	Urban	No	1,049	Nov 4-Nov 31
Pakistan	Fatima, Idrees (Ms.)	fatima.idrees@gallup.com.pk	Gallup Pakistan	Face to face	National	Yes	2705	Dec 27 - Dec 3
Palestine	Nabil Kukali	dr.kukali@pcpo.org	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion (PCPO)	Face to face	National	No	626	Nov 29 - Dec 8
Perú	Gustavo Yrala	gyrala@datum.com.pe	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face to face	National	No	1207	Dec 8 - Dec 10
Poland	Grzegorz Dąbrowski	grzegorz.dabrowski@mareco.pl	Mareco Polska	Face to face	Urban	No	520	Dec 30 – Jan 5
Romania	Lucian Rotariu	lucian.rotariu@csop.ro	T.N.S. C.S.O.P	Face to face	National	Yes	1050	Nov 26-Dec 5
Russia	Ivanisheva Natalya	Ivanisheva.N@romir.ru	Romir	Online	Urban	Yes	1000	Dec 25 - Dec 28
Saudi Arab	Mohammed M. Aayed	m.aayed@parc-ksa.com	PAN ARAB RESEARCH CENTER (PARC)	Telephone	Urban	No	502	Nov, 2011
Serbia	Petar Krstic	petar.krstic@tnsmediumgallup.co. rs	TNS Medium Gallup	Face to face	National	Yes	1037	Nov 3-Nov 8
South Africa	Patson Gasura, Diana Nyirangwe & Florence Ndanga	patson@topliners.co.za, diana@topliners.co.za & Florence@topliners.co.za	Topline Research Solutions (TRS)	Face to face	National	Yes	200	Dec 2 - Dec 6
South Korea	Hyunjeong Jung	hjujung@gallup.co.kr	Gallup Korea	Face to face	National	Yes	1,524	Nov 18-Dec 6
South Sudan	Margit Cleveland	margit@infiniteinsight.net	Infinite Insight	Face to face	National	Yes	1,020	Nov 5 - Dec 6
Spain	Carlos Clavero / Luciano Miguel	carlos.clavero@institutodym.es / luciano.miguel@institutodym.es	Instituto DYM	Face to face	National	Yes	1146	Nov 17-Dec 6
Sweden	Johan Lilliecreutz	johan.lilliecreutz@cmaresearch.se	CMA Research AB	Online	National	No	501	Nov 9-Nov 11
Switzerland	Barbara Schumacher	Barbara.schumacher@isopublic.ch	ISOPUBLIC AG	Face to face	National	Yes	507	Nov 23 - Dec 5
Tunisia	Nabil Belaam	emrhod@gnet.tn	EMRHOD INTERNATIONAL	Face to face	National	No	503	Nov 24-Dec 2
Turkey	Derya Değerli / Esra Dalgıç	d.degerli@barem.com.tr /e.dalgic@barem.com.tr	Barem Research	Telephone	National	Yes	1031	Dec 6 - Dec 12
Ukraine	Ivanisheva Natalya	Ivanisheva.N@romir.ru	Romir	Face to face	National	Yes	1,013	Nov 22 - Dec 4

USA	Cindy Kaminski	ckaminski@trig-us.com	TRiG	online	National	Yes	1002	Nov 22-Nov 29
Uzbekistan	Ivanisheva Natalya	Ivanisheva.N@romir.ru	Romir	Face to face	Urban	No	500	Nov 16-Dec 6
Vietnam	Tran Nguyen My Dung	dung_tnm@irl-hcm.com.vn	Indochina Research Limited	Face to face	National	Yes	500	Nov 1 - Nov 09

Summary:

- 1- Total Countries: 59 (n=53,433)
- 2- Mode of Interview:
 - a. Fact to Face: Countries 36 (n=34,890)
 - b. Telephone: Countries 11 (n=7,661)
 - c. Online: Countries 12 (n=10,882)
- 3- Coverage:
 - National: Countries 46 (n=43,720)
 - Urban only: Countries 13 (n=9,713)
- 4- Field Work Dates: November 2011 – January, 2012

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com



For more details :

Rushna Shahid
Assisting Gallup International
Opinion Research Group
Tele: +92-51-2655630
Fax : +92-51-2655632
Email: rushna.shahid@gallup.com.pk

Irene Zuettel
Gallup International
Zurich
Tele: +41 (0) 44 806 6650
Fax : +41 (0) 44 806 6660
Email: jirene.zuettel@gallup-international.com

Disclaimer: Gallup International Association or its members are not related to Gallup Inc., headquartered in Washington D.C which is no longer a member of Gallup International Association. Gallup International Association does not accept responsibility for opinion polling other than its own. We require that our surveys be credited fully as Gallup International (not Gallup or Gallup Poll). For further details see website: www.Gallup-international.com